IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 17001 of 2004

Between:

1 Y. Srinivas, S/o. Manikyalarao,
R/o. Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
2 B. Ramakrishna, S/o. Seshavataram,
R/o. Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Inspector of Police Bhimavaram Town, West Godavari

District.
2 The Sub Inspector of Police Bhimavaram I Town, Bhimavaram,

West Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents not to interfere with the personal liberty of the Petitioners without due process of law by declaring that the interference of the respondents with the Civil disputes of the petitioners with others is highly unwarranted, unlawful abuse of powers and violative of Art 14 and 21 of the Constitutions of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.C.RAMACHANDRA RAJU

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the stage of admission made the following O R D E R:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Writ of *Mandamus* directing the respondents not to interfere with the personal liberty of the petitioners without due process of law and declare the interference with the petitioners' civil disputes, as arbitrary and illegal.

The second respondent filed counter-affidavit on his behalf and on behalf of the first respondent denying all the allegations made by the petitioner and stated that the allegation of the petitioners that the respondents are forcing them to attend the Police Station and pressurising them to settle the civil dispute with his brother for the last two months, is totally baseless and incorrect. It is stated that at no point of time, the respondents called the petitioners to attend the Police Station and never threatened them. It is further stated that the brother of the first petitioner by name Y.Gopalaratnam never approached the authorities of the respondents and the respondents never interfered with the business of the petitioners.

In the light of the averments in the counter-affidavit denying all the allegations made by the petitioner, no further adjudication is necessary in this writ petition.

Accordingly, recording the statements in the counter-affidavit, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

10-11-2004

kdl

To

1 The Inspector of Police Bhimavaram Town, West Godavari

District.

2 The Sub Inspector of Police Bhimavaram I Town, Bhimavaram,

West Godavari District.

3 Two C.Cs to the G.P. for Home, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

4 Two C.D copies